```
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
                        EASTERN DIVISION
 2
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
                                          No. 08 CR 888
             Government,
 4
                                          Chicago, Illinois
   VS.
 5
   ROD BLAGOJEVICH, ROBERT
   BLAGOJEVICH, CHRISTOPHER KELLY,)
WILLIAM F. CELLINI, SR.,
Defendants.
                                          June 25, 2009
 7
                                          11:07 o'clock a.m.
 8
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 9
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES B. ZAGEL
10
   For the Government:
11
                THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. FITZGERALD,
12
                UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
                      Reid J. Schar
                BY:
13
                     Carrie E. Hamilton
                     Christopher Niewoehner
14
                 Assistant United States Attorneys
                219 South Dearborn Street;
15
                Suite 500
                Chicago, Illinois 60604
16
17
   Court Reporter:
18
                   Blanca I. Lara, CSR, RPR
219 South Dearborn Street
19
                            Room 2504
                    Chicago, Illinois 60604
20
                          (312) 435-5895
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
2
   APPEARANCES (continued:)
 1
 2
   For Defendant Rod Blagojevich:
 3
 4
            KAPLAN & SOROSKY
                  Sheldon M. Sorosky
            BY:
 5
            158 West Erie
Chicago, Illinois 60610
(312) 640-1776
 6
 7
            LAW OFFICE OF SAMUEL E. ADAM
            BY: Samuel Forbes Adam
 8
            6133 South Ellis Avenue
            Suite 200
 9
            Chicago, Illinois 60637
312-726-2326
10
11
            STEIN LAW GROUP LLC
            BY: Giel Stein
12
            P. O. Box 11462
Chicago, Illinois 60611-0462
13
            (312)^{3}265-1110
14
   For Defendant Robert Blagojevich:
15
            ETTINGER, BESBEKOS, PARISI
16
                  Michael D. Ettinger
                  12413 South Harlem
17
            Suite 203
Palos Hills, Illinois 60453
18
            (708)598-1111
19
20
   For Defendant Christopher Kelly:
21
            MONICO & SPEVACK
            BY: Michael D. Monico
22
            20 South Clark Street
            Suite 700
23
            Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 782-8500
24
25
```

```
3
   Appearances (continued:)
 1
 2
    For Defendant William F. Cellini, Sr:
 3
               Genson and Gillespie
BY: Terence Patrick Gillespie
53 West Jackson Boulevard
 4
 5
               Suite 1420
               Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 726-9015
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

the last time, and the question is, have you actually reached an agreement or at least narrowed the disagreement?

MR. SOROSKY: Well, I would just say, if I could butt in and go first, I think we would need the end of that window or as long as possible.

If I could just say this, I think on a prior court date the government informed the Court that there were approximately a million documents. That statement is correct. However, the way the government calculated documents used by each individual document and some documents are more than one page, so there are approximately 3 and a half million pages. So the government's position that there are a million documents is not incorrect; however, I naively just assumed that there were a million pages. There are not a million pages, there are 3 and a half million pages.

And on the last court date, Your Honor graciously appointed Mr. Stein for 40 hours of work and he's been a diamond, whatever stone is most precious, at helping us. And the first thing we would ask if the Court would permanently appoint Mr. Stein to our defense team to fulfill the role of organizer, I think that was the word Your Honor

:08AM

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

:08AM

:09AM

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

:09AM

:09AM

Case: 1:08-cr-00888 Document #: 1046 Filed: 09/11/12 Page 6 of 15 PageID #:17078 6 1 used. THE COURT: Anyone else want to address this 2 on the defense side? 3 MR. SOROSKY: I think I speak for everyone on 4 the defense side. 5 :10AM THE COURT: As soon as you said 3 million 6 pages, I think you were speaking for everyone. 7 MR. SCHAR: Judge, we will make ourselves 8 available for trial whenever Your Honor pleases. 9 MR. SOROSKY: Could I suggest holding off a 10 :10AM trial date or setting an exact date but we as 11 offense attorneys are well aware that Your Honor has 12 set the timetable of between April and June --13 MR. SCHAR: That we would object to, Judge. 14 We would like a trial date to set some things in 15 :10AM stone and get moving. 16 MR. GILLESPIE: You said July? 17 THE COURT: I said April 1st to July 1st. 18 MR. SOROSKY: Oh, July 1st. 19 THE COURT: That's what I said. 2.0 :10AM (Brief pause.) 2.1 THE COURT: I am going to set a date and the 2.2 date I'm setting is June 3rd. There are a variety 2.3

THE COURT: I am going to set a date and the date I'm setting is June 3rd. There are a variety of things that have to be done, some of them I don't think we have to talk about because now that there's

25

:11AM

a date people can do some organization and we're going to have relatively frequent statuses, but I do want various drafts of the jury questionnaire. It's been done before, it's been done in cases that I've had before. It's useful because it shortcuts the amount of time we have to spend in oral dialogue with the jurors, and for purposes of both the prosecution and defense there's much more information in those questionnaires than you would get in an ordinary examination. And the last time I used it, I had a fairly prominent defense lawyer urge that it be done in all cases. It's just a little too expensive for a 3-day jury trial, but it just makes sense in the context of a long trial.

That date will necessitate in addition to customary the Friday breaks, it will necessitate perhaps some possibly longer days off because of the Independence Day holiday, but maybe not. So I took a look at the calendar and the 4th is on a Sunday, the 5th will be the holiday, and we would be taking off the 2nd, in any event, because it's a Friday. So we may not actually be impacted much by Independence Day. So basically that's that. My preference would have been for May, but at least we avoid Memorial Day in period of time, which I find,

:11AM

:12AM

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

10

11

12

7

:12AM

:13AM

:13AM

for many, is more difficult.

Having said that, I'm granting the motion with respect to Mr. Stein.

Is there anything else?

MR. SCHAR: Judge, could we also prescreen the panel for the length of trial?

THE COURT: Yes, the questionnaire will tell people, as we did in the Family Secrets case, that this is going to be a trial of certain duration. It's my practice to overstate the duration by 2 or 3 weeks. Then the jury office will look at them, because there will be a bunch of people who will say honestly they'd be happy to serve but it's too long and they'll give us reasons. In the vast majority of cases, they're perfectly legitimate and I just excuse them. Others, have come or some further investigation is done. And we tell me what the terms a conditions are, we tell them there will be Fridays off.

But more importantly than that is the questions you want to put on the questionnaires, and with few exceptions I follow the lawyers' lead, because you are the ones who are going to have to make a determination of peremptory challenges, and that's basically why you need the data for.

:13AM

7

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

:14AM

12 13

14

:14AM 15

16 17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

:15AM

:15AM 25

9 I will also address at a later date the 1 question of how many peremptory challenges there will be, but we'll deal with that in due course. 3 Do we have another general status set for us? 4 MR. SCHAR: We do not, Judge. 5 :15AM THE COURT: I'm thinking July 16th -- well, I 6 don't want to really do that --7 MR. SCHAR: Judge, we could go a week after 8 that. 9 THE COURT: July 23rd? 10 :16AM MR. SCHAR: Very good, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Fine. 12 MR. SOROSKY: Could we make that the 22nd? 13 Is that possible? 14 THE COURT: Absolutely. 22nd is fine. 15 :16AM Time will be excluded in the interest of 16 justice for the obvious purpose of allowing the 17 defense to prepare. 18 MR. ETTINGER: At 11:00, Judge? 19 THE COURT: What was the question? 2.0 :16AM MR. ETTINGER: At 11:00 o'clock, Judge? 2.1 THE COURT: 11:00 o'clock. 2.2 MR. SCHAR: Judge, one final issue from the 2.3 government. We have continued to work through the 24 issue of the Friends of Blagojevich money. I think 25 :16AM

a significant sum has actually been provided to the Clerk's Office. The Clerk's Office had asked at some point, and not asking leave now, for Your Honor to amend the order specifically to allow for interest bearing accounts for this money to be put in. I believe, if I have not already submitted one, I will submit just a change to the order that allows that. The Clerk's Office has asked that we provide that to them.

THE COURT: Yeah, that is right. That is right, interest bearing accounts.

Anything else?

MR. SOROSKY: There are a few other remedial matters -- or four other. First, if the Court would consider adopting some regular schedule so that all of the attorneys could be paid with some consistency. We'll file an ex parte motion requesting that, but we just wanted to let Your Honor know, that's one thing.

THE COURT: I'd be happy to establish a regular schedule. And obviously the persons who have the principal interest in that are the defense lawyers, the government is much more likely to be available at specified time. So you can submit something to me and if the intervals are not too

:17AM

:17AM

:17AM

17

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

:17AM

:18AM

long, I'll give it to you.

MR. SOROSKY: Thank you.

Another motion we will be filing ex parte is, there are certain sophisticated forms of equipment that the defense is going to need to adequately prepare for trial. I mean, generically, it probably would be considered computer equipment, if I could use that phrase, and we just want to inform the court and the government we will be filing that ex parte.

MR. SCHAR: Judge, just on that issue, obviously, if Your Honor grants the order, we're not going to object, but it's my understanding that the CJA money, which would be the FOB money as the equivalent, should not to be used as simply outfit defendants with new computers and everything else, or at least if that's going to be provided, I assume on an interim basis with the equipment to be returned so that we're not actually using money so they can update their offices.

MR. SOROSKY: Absolutely. We have no problem with that.

THE COURT: If you're dealing with particularly sophisticated equipment, usually you can lease it because people don't use it that often

:18AM

1

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

25

:18AM

:19AM

16

:19AM

23

24

:19AM

and it's usually leased. And if it's not leased, we can do something else.

MR. SCHAR: All right.

THE COURT: I don't think you're going to get a quarrel over that one.

MR. SOROSKY: Third thing is, there is certain equipment presently in the Friends of Blagojevich office, and I don't think it's supersophisticated equipment but it is equipment, such as some photocopy machines and that type of thing. I was wondering if the defense could avail itself of that equipment, since it's already there, if necessary, and of course --

THE COURT: I would be unlikely to frown on that one.

MR. SOROSKY: You would be?

THE COURT: Unlikely to frown.

MR. SOROSKY: Okay. Very good. Very good.

MR. ADAM: He said yes.

THE COURT: Well, double negative is a positive, is that correct, Mr. Adam?

MR. ADAM: That's correct.

MR. SOROSKY: And the fourth thing is, on the last court date Your Honor wanted all, I think the term you used, is younger lawyers, and they are all

:19AM

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

:19AM

:20AM

:20AM

:20AM

1 here if the Court wants to meet them.

THE COURT: Why don't you have them come up and state their names for the record.

MR. SOROSKY: Okay.

MR. SCHAR: Judge, when they do that, they we're here, can they represent to the Court that they've been provided a copy of the protective order and they read it and they understand the terms of the protective order?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SOROSKY: Everyone step up and state your names to the Judge.

MR. SAULTER: Good morning, Your Honor.

Keenan Saulter, I have read and analyzed the protective order.

THE COURT: Would you spell your name?

MR. SAULTER: Yes, S as in Sam a-u-l-t-e-r.

MS. MOLARO: Robyn Molaro, M-o-l-a-r-o, and I have read and I understand the protective order.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.

Aaron Goldstein, G-o-l-d-s-t-e-i-n, I've read the protective order and I understand it.

MR. DI NATALE: Good morning, Your Honor.

Michael DiNatale, DiNatale, and I have read and do understand the protective order.

:20AM

:21AM 10

11 12

2

3

4

5

13

14

:21AM 15

16

18

19

20

21

2.3

25

17

:21AM

2.2

24

:21AM

:21AM

:22AM

:22AM

24

25

•				15
1				
2				
3	* * * * *	* *	*	
4				
5				
6	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT			
7	FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER			
8				
10				
11				
12	/ 5/ Branca II Lara		iacc	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	Blanca I. Lara	lanca I. Lara Date		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				